



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/745,821	12/21/2000	Tim Kerry Keyes	85CF-00111	8655

7590

09/12/2002

John S. Beulick
Armstrong Teasdale LLP
Suite 2600
One Metropolitan Sq.
St. Louis, MO 63102

EXAMINER

FELTEN, DANIEL S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3624

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.
09/745,821

Applicant(s)
Keyes

Examiner
Daniel Felten

Art Unit
3624



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Dec 21, 2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____ 6) ☐ Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed March 29, 2001 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stallaert et al (hereinafter "Stallaert", US 6,035,287) .

Stallaert discloses a method for predicting value for which the data represents a projecting of values on non-underwritten assets (see Stalleart, Abstract). Stallaert fails to

1 discloses selecting the best models. However, it would have been obvious for an artisan of
2 ordinary skill at the time of Stalleart to chose various asset valuation models/ methods because
3 an artisan at the time of the invention was made would recognize the advantage of using
4 different models for various types of assets during various times in the economic cycle. Thus
5 to integrate various asset valuation models into the Stallaert invention would provide
6 alternative means to weight asset portions by assigned allocation values. Thus such a
7 modification would have been an obvious expedient within the ordinary skill in the art.

8
9
10
11 **Conclusion**
12

13 4. A list of relevant prior art appears below not relied upon in this Office Action:

14 **US Patent Publications:**

15 Messemer et al (US 2001/0037278 A1) discloses a method and apparatus for simulating and
16 competitive bidding yield.

17 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner
18 should be directed to **Daniel S. Felten** whose telephone number is (703) 305-0724. The
19 examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 7:00AM to 5:30PM Monday-Thursday.
20 Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application or its proceedings should
21 be directed to the Customer Service Office (703) 306-5631, or the examiner's supervisor
22 **Vincent Millin** whose telephone number is (703) 308-1065.
23

24 6. Response to this action should be mailed to:

Serial Number: 09/745,821

Applicant(s): ()

Page 4

Art Unit: 3624

Representative: ()

1
2 Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

3 Washington, D.C. 20231

4
5 for formal communications intended for entry, or (703) 305-0040, for informal or draft
6 communications, please label "Proposed" or "Draft".

7 Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35
8 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be
9 addressed to *[daniel.felten@uspto.gov]*.

10 All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO
11 employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that
12 sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly
13 signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly
14 set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and
15 Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1 195 OG 89.

16
17 

18 DSF
19 September 4, 2002
20
21


VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600